



ONE VOICE_{FOR} CLEAN WATER

NACWA

**YEAR-AT-A-GLANCE
2011–2012**

A Message from NACWA's President & Executive Director

As we celebrate the 40th anniversary of the Clean Water Act (CWA), we must renew our commitment to work every day to ensure that the Act remains relevant in an era far different from the one in which it was originally conceived. Forty years ago, the U. S. was facing a water pollution crisis. In response, the federal government drafted legislation and provided funding to ensure significant water quality improvements.

Today we are facing a different sort of crisis. Federal money continues to dry up. Costs continue to increase. And requirements have become more stringent – in some cases in ways unrelated to the achievement of water quality goals. We also have many new challenges to address – among them climate change, population growth, and wet weather and related runoff, particularly as this relates to nutrients – arguably one of the biggest clean water issues we face. All under the same legislation drafted four decades ago.

Although we still have work to do to improve our Nation's water quality, we also need to inject some much needed common sense into the CWA. This includes providing the flexibility necessary to meet requirements in a way that is best for a given community – allowing for both prioritization and the best use of limited dollars; use of alternative methods such as green infrastructure; and application of a watershed-based approach that takes a more holistic view of pollutant sources and solutions.

To achieve these goals, NACWA has been hard at work.

We have continued to make headway on our ongoing campaign, *Money Matters ... Smarter Investment to Advance Clean Water™*. This campaign has provided framework for NACWA and its Money Matters Task Force to push

for regulatory prioritization reform under the CWA so that communities can make the best use of their limited funding to meet their obligations. One tangible result of these efforts has been the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) recent release of its Integrated Planning Framework. This initiative could allow community leaders to make decisions based on what is best at the local level. We are proud that NACWA and its members played a critical role in the development of the framework.

NACWA has also taken very seriously the role clean water utilities will play in the future. Tomorrow's clean water agencies will likely be resource recovery entities, energy-producers, and fertilizer generators – using green infrastructure and emerging technologies to achieve water quality goals. Today's clean water utilities understand that, in order to achieve true sustainability, they must continue to find ways to improve their operations and the environment – and NACWA is here to help. You'll be hearing more about our efforts focused on the Water Resources Utility of the Future over the next several months.

On the nutrient front, NACWA set the stage for a national Farm Bill that will encourage better nutrient management practices by farmers. The Association also engaged in two lawsuits that seek to compel EPA action to address nutrient impairment and could have profound implications for municipal clean water utilities.

NACWA also has embarked on the single largest litigation effort in its history over EPA's rule to regulate air emissions from sewage sludge incinerators (SSIs) under the Clean Air Act (CAA). Not only are the SSI air emission standards illegal and scientifically flawed, but they impose overly stringent and costly limits that many agencies will not be able to meet

ad·vo·ca·cy \n\ advəcəcē

the act or process of advocating or supporting a cause or proposal

See NACWA: the leading advocate for responsible national policies that advance clean water; the clean water community's voice in Congress, at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, in the media and in the courts

or afford. Actively supported by our members who incinerate, NACWA is making the case that incineration has provided an environmentally responsible and cost effective way to manage biosolids for many years.

And all of this has been achieved in an election year!

The pages of the Year-At-A-Glance will provide additional detail on many of these initiatives. We've worked hard this year to ensure that we stay in close contact with our members and provide opportunities for them to be a prominent part of the larger water quality conversations happening nationally.

Perhaps this is best exemplified by our expanded engagement in social media. Today you'll find NACWA on Facebook and Twitter; on our blog, *The Water Voice*; and, most importantly on *Engage™* – our professional networking and knowledge sharing community.

In the national policy arena it is often difficult to quantify the impact of an association's advocacy efforts. We believe, however, that the efforts we've described and those showcased in this *Year-At-A-Glance* demonstrate that membership in NACWA is an investment that pays great dividends.



David R. Williams

David Williams
NACWA President 2011-2012

Director of Wastewater
East Bay Municipal
Utility District, Calif.





Ken Kirk

Ken Kirk
NACWA Executive Director
Washington, D.C.

Affordability, Integrated Planning & Funding



- » NACWA built on its *Money Matters ... Smarter Investment to Advance Clean Water™* campaign when the Association successfully leveraged its draft regulatory prioritization legislation, *The Water Quality Improvement & Investment Prioritization Act*, to urge the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to initiate work on its integrated planning effort. 
- » NACWA supported the U.S. Conference of Mayors (USCM) in their efforts to ensure that the considerable flexibility in the existing Clean Water Act (CWA) was fully available to clean water agencies implementing wet weather programs. This important initiative served as inspiration for the integrated planning discussions which followed. 
- » NACWA convened a meeting in October 2011 with key utility representatives and EPA officials to discuss what type of relief and flexibility was needed and how the Agency's new integrated planning initiative might help their agencies.
- » NACWA wrote to and met with senior EPA officials, including Deputy Administrator Bob Perciasepe, to highlight the principles of its *Money Matters ... Smarter Investment to Advance Clean Water™* campaign and encourage the continued development of EPA's integrated planning framework.
- » NACWA convened a workshop in December 2011 with EPA officials and key utility, state and environmental non-governmental organization (NGO) representatives to provide input to the Agency on the key elements and principles of its draft framework on integrated planning.
- » NACWA testified at a December 2011 hearing, convened by the House Transportation & Infrastructure Committee's Water Resources & Environment Subcommittee, focusing on integrated planning and innovative financing tools for clean water infrastructure investment. In testimony, NACWA's President Dave Williams (East Bay Municipal Utility District, CA) called for EPA to finalize an integrated planning approach that would grant cities added flexibility to prioritize resources and invest community dollars more effectively.
- » NACWA testified before the House Transportation & Infrastructure Committee's Water Resources & Environment Subcommittee at a follow-up to its December 2011 hearing on EPA's newly-released integrated planning framework. George Hawkins, Chair of NACWA's Money Matters Task Force (DC Water), applauded the integrated planning framework in his testimony, but also outlined additional steps Congress and EPA should take to ensure the framework's approach is widely beneficial.









- » NACWA actively represented its members at all of the EPA public workshops on integrated planning and, at the request of EPA, arranged for two member agency utilities to provide their perspectives at facilitated discussions during each of the workshops.
- » NACWA worked closely with its Money Matters Task Force to file comments on EPA's draft integrated planning framework. The Association also met with EPA officials several times during the spring and early summer of 2012 to discuss the content of the final framework and early utility implementation efforts.
- » NACWA-advocated provisions were included in the February 2012 introduction, by Senator Sherrod Brown, of *The Clean Water Affordability Act of 2012 (S. 2094)*. The Association-supported provisions ensure that EPA's integrated planning approach provides as much benefit as possible to communities; revamps the Agency's approach to affordability assessments; and, provides federal grants for wet weather control projects.
- » NACWA conceptualized, and set in motion, plans for regional workshops on integrated planning – providing utilities with the opportunity to explore the potential benefits of integrated planning approaches, and helping to identify tools that may be needed to maximize the usefulness of the framework.
- » NACWA, as part of a coalition of water sector groups, worked actively to urge Congress to maintain funding for the Fiscal Year 2013 Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) and Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (SDWSRF) at the Fiscal Year 2012 levels of \$1,468 million and \$919 million, respectively.
- » NACWA supported and helped secure important sponsors for Representative Tim Bishop's *Water Quality Protection & Job Creation Act of 2011 (H.R. 3145)*, a bill to provide \$15 billion over five years for investments in water infrastructure projects – including the Water Infrastructure Financing Innovations Authority (WIFIA) – and to establish a \$10 billion annual, long-term trust fund to ensure that the critical federal funding partnership with communities remains intact.
- » NACWA supported Representative Earl Blumenauer's introduction of *The Water Protection & Reinvestment Act*, a bill to establish a federal trust fund for investments in clean water infrastructure. The bill would provide \$9 billion annually for clean water investments, much of it going to bolster the CWSRF program, and is consistent with the Association's call for a dedicated funding source for clean water infrastructure.

Stormwater & Wet Weather



- » NACWA secured a major legal victory when a federal court ruled in *Cities of Vancouver and Renton v. United States* that federal government agencies are responsible for paying past-due municipal stormwater fees. The Association submitted a brief supporting the City of Vancouver, Wash. in its legal effort to collect unpaid bills from delinquent federal facilities. The court embraced many of NACWA's arguments in its decision. This litigation success complements NACWA's 2010 legislative victory on stormwater fees and paves the ways for stormwater utilities around the nation to collect millions of dollars in unpaid bills from federal government agencies. 
- » NACWA built on its legal success regarding federal stormwater fees by filing a brief in support of member DeKalb County, Ga. in a lawsuit seeking payment of unpaid bills from federal agencies. 

- » NACWA's aggressive advocacy with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on the need for additional regulatory flexibility and prioritization yielded significant results in the wet weather enforcement arena, as communities like Seattle, Philadelphia, and Atlanta entered new consent decrees, or modified existing decrees, providing additional flexibility and significant cost savings in meeting their Clean Water Act (CWA) obligations.
- » NACWA actively supported the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District, Mo., filing an appellate brief in a lawsuit defending the utility's stormwater fee program from a legal challenge. Both the District and Association assert that the use of impervious surface for stormwater billing is an appropriate fee structure and is widely used by other utilities around the nation. 
- » NACWA participated in the case of *Sackett v. EPA* before the U.S. Supreme Court, helping secure a significant legal victory when a unanimous court ruled that administrative compliance orders issued by EPA are subject to pre-enforcement juridical review. This decision will provide clean water utilities with additional legal protections when defending wet weather enforcement actions. 
- » NACWA engaged with key federal agencies including EPA and the White House Office of Management & Budget on critical issues impacting municipal stormwater regulation, including EPA's efforts to develop a new national post-construction stormwater rule. A draft of the new rule is expected in June 2013.

- » NACWA convened a Wet Weather Legislative Task Force to develop a package of reforms to the CWA to address critical wet weather-related issues, including water quality standards, combined sewer and sanitary sewer overflows, peak wet weather flow treatment, and stormwater. The legislative package is expected to be presented to Congress in early 2013.
- » NACWA, following the July 2011 EPA dialogue on a potential national sanitary sewer overflow (SSO) rule, led discussions with stakeholder groups on the possible components of an SSO rule. A reasonable SSO rule will be needed to provide utilities with more certainty in their integrated planning efforts.
- » NACWA, accompanied by DC Water, described and discussed combined sewer overflow (CSO) issues during a Senate briefing hosted by Sen. Sherrod Brown and the Northeast-Midwest Institute. At the briefing, the Association emphasized the necessity of addressing affordability issues; providing municipalities with increased flexibility through a viable integrated planning/regulatory prioritization approach; and, increasing the use of green infrastructure to limit runoff and flow to combined sewer systems.



Nutrients, Nonpoint Source Control & Watershed Management

- » NACWA intervened in federal litigation seeking imposition of numeric nutrient criteria for all water bodies in the Mississippi River Basin (MRB), arguing against such action and ensuring the interests of the municipal clean water utility community were aggressively represented. The Association's participation in the *Gulf Restoration Network v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)* lawsuit supports the Agency's decision not to impose federal nutrient criteria and will protect clean water utilities in the MRB from potentially millions of dollars of additional costs needed to address federal numeric limits. NACWA's prominent role on this issue highlighted the need for a comprehensive approach to nutrient impairment. 
- » NACWA responded aggressively to a lawsuit filed by the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) seeking EPA action on their previous petition for nutrient removal at all publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) as part of federal secondary treatment requirements. NACWA engaged in high-level discussions with EPA to both ensure that the Agency has sufficient time to respond, and reiterate the Association's strong opposition to inclusion of nutrient removal as part of secondary treatment. As a result, the Agency secured the time needed to respond to the petition by the end of 2012. 
- » NACWA repeatedly filed briefs in federal litigation over EPA's final Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the Chesapeake Bay, defending the Agency's use of a holistic watershed approach from a challenge by agricultural groups. NACWA's briefs argued that EPA's inclusion of nonpoint sources in the TMDL was legal, and highlighted the significant economic and regulatory challenges municipal point sources dischargers in the Bay watershed will face if nonpoint sources are not part of the TMDL process. 
- » NACWA engaged staff leadership from EPA and the Association of Clean Water Administrators (ACWA) in an active and ongoing dialogue on the implementation of numeric nutrient criteria. The dialogue focused on existing Clean Water Act tools – including variances that regulators may use – to minimize impacts on clean water agencies where water quality needs may push control requirements beyond the limits of technology.
- » NACWA formed a Water Quality Trading Working Group, to examine emerging issues in water quality trading and how best to foster successful water quality markets. The Working Group completed a review of EPA's 2003 *Water Quality Trading Policy* and provided the Agency with its recommendations to strengthen the Policy.



- » NACWA testified before the House Transportation & Infrastructure Committee's Water Resources & Environment Subcommittee on the treatment of hydraulic fracturing wastewater. In its testimony the Association emphasized that utilities should be able to accept wastes that they can appropriately treat – and that local limits or national pretreatment standards can be established to protect utility operations and water quality.



» NACWA's Board of Directors adopted a resolution in April 2012 making clear that Association members will, as appropriate and feasible, support the collection of data concerning nutrient impacts. The Board's action serves as recognition of the importance of high-quality and robust data on nutrients in advancing efforts to improve water quality.

» NACWA was instrumental in securing language in the Senate Farm Bill that provides targeted funding for agricultural producers who engage in nutrient management activities. As a result of the Association's collaborative advocacy efforts, the bill establishes the Regional Conservation Partnership Program to encourage partnerships between agricultural operators and entities such as POTWs, non governmental organizations (NGOs) and governmental agencies to focus on improving farm conservation practices.

» NACWA engaged EPA on their work to develop revised recreational water quality criteria. An Association working group developed detailed comments on the Agency's December 2011 proposed revisions and met with EPA numerous times to relay the clean water community's concerns about the Agency's rapid test method – and the need for implementation guidance before the criteria are released in final form.

» NACWA played an active role in a March 2012 press conference held by the Healthy Waters Coalition (Coalition), a diverse group of Washington-based municipal water, wastewater groups, conservation organizations, state agencies, and agriculture and forest operations led by the Association. At the press conference, the Coalition announced key policy recommendations for the Farm Bill that focused on strengthening the link between agricultural land and water quality.



HEALTHY WATERS
COALITION

» NACWA actively monitored EPA's development of revised, more stringent water quality criteria for ammonia. Recognizing the potential impacts the new criteria would have on the clean water community, NACWA urged EPA to address the anticipated implementation challenges before releasing the final criteria values. As a result, EPA revised its timetable and is working on implementation guidance to be released concurrently with the criteria.



» NACWA engaged in active collaboration with the American Public Works Association (APWA) and the Water Environment Federation (WEF) on the issue of flushable wipes and other non-dispersible products in sewer systems. Together the groups sought better testing and labeling of products from product manufacturers and retailers – potentially reducing overflows and maintenance costs for utilities.

Energy Recovery & the Water Resources Utility of the Future


- » NACWA continued its aggressive legal advocacy efforts against the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) new sewage sludge incinerator (SSI) rule – which threatens the ability of utilities to pursue innovative energy production and recovery projects with their SSI units. NACWA's brief in the litigation challenges the legal and technical basis for the SSI rule and asks the court to overturn it.
- » NACWA continued its active engagement in regulatory developments related to EPA's new emission limits for SSIs – including the Non-Hazardous Secondary Materials (NHSM) rule and the Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incinerator (CISWI) rule. The NHSM rule defines sewage sludge destined for incineration as a solid waste (NACWA's legal challenge of this rule is temporarily being held in abeyance while EPA works to revise the rule). NACWA, together with other impacted stakeholders, has worked to ensure that uncontained gases, such as biogas generated during anaerobic digestion, are not subjected to the more onerous requirements associated with burning wastes as a result of either the CISWI or NHSM rules.
- » NACWA put forward a brief in litigation over EPA's final Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule, supporting the Agency's decision to exempt sources of biogenic carbon dioxide, like POTWs, from the rule pending further study. The Association's brief highlighted the unique nature of biogenic emissions from the wastewater treatment process, and explained how unnecessary greenhouse gas regulation of POTWs could inhibit the development of new resource recovery and energy production projects. 
- » NACWA provided testimony, via a statement for the record, in conjunction with a Senate Energy & Natural Resources Committee hearing on Senator Jeff Bingaman's proposed legislation to establish a National Clean Energy Standard (CES). The Association's statement highlighted the enormous energy potential in wastewater and encouraged Congress to include both biogas and biomass-based energy production in any final legislative package.





- » NACWA played a prominent role in a Congressional Briefing hosted by the American Biogas Council and the Congressional Biomass Caucus to discuss the role of anaerobic digestion and biogas production in generating clean energy, increasing environmental sustainability, and economic development. NACWA spoke about the current use of digesters at municipal wastewater treatment plants and the potential for increasing production of biogas at these facilities.
- » NACWA hosted a Congressional briefing with Representative Lois Capps (D-Calif.) to highlight how drinking water and wastewater systems across the country are impacted by, and are responding to, changing hydrological conditions related to climate change. Rep. Capps has introduced complementary legislation, *The Water Infrastructure Resiliency and Sustainability Act* (H.R. 2738), to encourage innovative adaptation approaches at local utilities and provide financial assistance to systems facing high costs.
- » NACWA worked to improve the viability of biogas as a renewable fuel by becoming an Interested Party in the enforceable consent agreement negotiations for collecting environmental data on siloxanes, which are harmful to boilers, engines, and other equipment when biogas is used as a renewable fuel, and significantly increase the cost of using biogas.
- » NACWA's leadership, in November 2011, identified the significance of transformational leadership – for its member agencies, as well as the Association's advocacy agenda – and endorsed pursuit of a process that establishes a framework for the *Water Resources Utility of the Future*.
- » NACWA testified before the House Transportation & Infrastructure Committee's Water Resources & Environment Subcommittee on the need for Congress to embrace the concepts inherent in transformational leadership and the *Water Resources Utility of the Future* as part of the Association's efforts to provide utilities with greater flexibility under the Clean Water Act.
- » NACWA conducted a national survey to gather important information on innovative work underway at clean water agencies consistent with the practices and programs encompassed under the vision for the *Water Resources Utility of the Future* – including energy efficiency and recovery, water reuse and resilience, resource recovery, green infrastructure, product stewardship and other innovative approaches. 
- » NACWA's Board of Directors endorsed a collaborative project – with the Water Environment Federation and the Water Environment Research Foundation – to develop a *Blueprint for Action* to support the exploration and evolution of public clean water utilities toward the *Water Resources Utility of the Future*. *The Blueprint* will define relevant issues, research and analyze key data, and offer recommendations for critical actions for the future. It also will educate and inform – as well as provide a path forward for research-based advocacy to remove barriers and provide incentives. 


Your TAF at Work


» NACWA's *Targeted Action Fund (TAF)* supported more than 20 key Association initiatives and programs – bolstering the effectiveness of the Association's advocacy agenda, and maximizing the ability of member agencies to collectively conduct and complete initiatives identified as critical by the membership. TAF-funded projects and initiatives are noted throughout this document by TAF symbol .


A number of other projects showcased in this Year-At-A-Glance, while not currently funded by the TAF, are continuations of prior year TAF-funded initiatives that remain significant to advancing NACWA's advocacy agenda. Additionally ...


» NACWA's 2011 *Financial Survey* was released in July 2012. The triennial *Survey* provides a complete picture of how clean water utility managers continue to balance wastewater collection and treatment services with responsibly managing their community's resources. The Association's 2011 *Survey* indicated sustained increases in costs, capital spending, and long-term debt levels – noting that while clean water agencies continue to demonstrate a strong financial position, their increasing reliance on long-term debt to finance assets and the large portion of utility budgets dedicated to servicing that debt, have and will continue



to present challenges for communities nationwide. In addition to the detailed triennial *Survey* report and raw data spreadsheet, NACWA provided members with a summary of key findings and trends from the *Survey*. 

» NACWA released the results of its 2011 *Service Charge Index Survey* in May 2012. The *Survey* confirmed that for the past decade the increase in the average cost of wastewater services for a single-family residence has outpaced the rate of inflation, as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI). The results include projections for approved or planned rate increases and regional data, providing more accurate benchmarks for utility managers. For the first time, the *Survey* results were presented in a detailed electronic report, allowing the Association to include data back to the inception of the *Survey* in 1985 – and provide additional invaluable analysis. 

» NACWA supported the Water Environment Research Foundation's work to identify successful method for developing site-specific nutrient criteria – the Association's preferred approach for development of these criteria. 

» NACWA collaborated with a number of California clean water agency associations to support ongoing work on toxicity issues and other quality impacts related to pesticides and unregulated consumer product ingredients. The Association has leveraged this work in its national advocacy on pesticide impacts and the need for reform of the regulation of toxic substances in consumer products. 

Excerpted from
NACWA's *Service
Charge Index*.





Technology and Social Media

- » NACWA's Board of Directors took action in November 2011 to amend the FY 2012 *Association Business Plan* to include a new Key Management Focus – *Bridge NACWA's Technology Gap and Build a Framework for the Future* – acknowledging and embracing the fact that technology, particularly internet-based systems and platforms, will be increasingly critical to NACWA's relevance and performance in the future.
- » NACWA's Board of Directors took action in support of the new Key Management Focus by adopting and funding a *Strategic Integrated Technology Plan* with the goal of providing access to 21st century technology, strengthening the Association's capacity to achieve organizational goals, and adding significant value to its members.
- » NACWA significantly expanded its use of powerful online networking and collaboration tools with the July 2012 launch of *NACWA Engage™*, a professional networking and knowledge sharing community that provides relevant, collaborative experiences for Association members and enhances the Association's effectiveness in the advocacy arena.
- » NACWA launched *The Water Voice™*, a blog covering all facets of water quality protection and dedicated to an analysis of policy issues affecting the clean water community.
- » NACWA enhanced its online presence, utilizing *Facebook*, *Twitter* and *YouTube* to showcase the Association's position on key issues and communicate vital clean water messages to members, potential members, and broader audiences, including ratepayers – and provide a point of connection for members to stay in touch with NACWA and learn about the Association's activities.
- » NACWA, along with the other water sector associations, successfully urged the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to prevent public internet access to utility Risk Management Plan information, thus protecting sensitive utility information from unrestricted access online.



blog.nacwa.org/



facebook.com/nacwaorg



twitter.com/nacwa



youtube.com/nacwaorg

Member Agency Honors & Achievements



- » NACWA honored 17 individuals and 17 member agencies for their outstanding contributions to environmental protection and the clean water community with 2012 *National Environmental Achievement Awards*.



- » NACWA significantly enhanced the Association's *Excellence in Management* awards program, adding *Resource Efficiency & Protection Activities* to the program's focus on the *Ten Attributes of Effectively Managed Water Sector Utilities* – and incorporating Platinum, Gold, and Silver recognition for both large and small utilities. In July, NACWA was proud to honor five Platinum member agencies, five Gold agencies, and two Silver agencies – recognizing their demonstrated commitment to implementing sustainable, successful programs that address the range of management challenges faced by public clean water utilities.



- » NACWA awarded a total of 447 member agency facilities with *Peak Performance Awards*, recognizing 137 treatment facilities with Platinum Peak Performance Awards signifying their 100% compliance for five or more years. Eighteen facilities earned first-time Platinum status, while the remaining 120 recipients achieved the remarkable accomplishment of maintaining perfect compliance for a time period ranging from six to 25 years.
- » NACWA recognized 173 member agency facilities with *Gold Awards* for maintaining perfect compliance for a full calendar year, and 136 member agency facilities with *Silver Awards* for no more than five permit violations in 2011.



NACWA Members

PUBLIC AGENCY MEMBERS

ALASKA

Anchorage Water & Wastewater Utility

ALABAMA

Jefferson County Commission
Mobile Area Water & Sewer System
Montgomery Water Works & Sanitary Sewer Board

ARKANSAS

City of Little Rock Wastewater Utility
Pine Bluff Wastewater Utility

ARIZONA

City of Mesa Water Resources
City of Phoenix Water Services Department
Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department

CALIFORNIA

Central Contra Costa Sanitary District
Central Marin Sanitation Agency
City & County of San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
City of Fresno Department of Public Utilities
City of Los Angeles
City of Palo Alto Regional Water Quality Control Plant
City of Riverside Water Reclamation Plant
City of Sacramento
City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Department
City of San Diego Public Utilities
City of San Jose Environmental Services Department
City of Santa Barbara
City of Santa Cruz Wastewater Treatment Facility
City of Sunnyvale Water Pollution Control Plant

“NACWA identifies emerging national issues and provides a unified voice to speak for wastewater.”

City of Thousand Oaks Public Works Department
Delta Diablo Sanitation District
East Bay Municipal Utility District
Encina Wastewater Authority
Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Orange County Sanitation District
Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District
Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
South Orange County Wastewater Authority
Union Sanitary District
Vallejo Sanitation & Flood Control District
Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority
West County Wastewater District

COLORADO

Boxelder Sanitation District
City of Aurora Water Department
City of Fort Collins Utilities
City of Greeley Water and Sewer Department
City of Pueblo Wastewater Department
City of Rifle
Colorado Springs Utilities Environmental Services
Littleton/Englewood Wastewater Treatment Plant
Metro Wastewater Reclamation District
Platte Canyon Water and Sanitation District
Pleasant View Water & Sanitation District

CONNECTICUT

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority
The Metropolitan District
The Town of Greenwich
Water Pollution Control Authority for the City of Norwalk

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DC Water

DELAWARE

City of Wilmington
Department of Public Works

FLORIDA

Broward County Water and Wastewater Services
City of Boca Raton Utility Services Department
City of Clearwater
City of Hollywood
Emerald Coast Utilities Authority
JEA (Electric, Water & Sewer)
Marion County Utilities
Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department
Orange County Utilities
Palm Beach County Water Utilities
Toho Water Authority

GEORGIA

City of Atlanta Department of Watershed Management
City of Augusta Utilities Department
City of Cumming
Columbus Water Works
DeKalb County Public Works Department
Gwinnett County Department of Water Resources
Macon Water Authority

City of Salisbury
Rowan Utilities
Metropolitan Sewerage
District of Buncombe County
Orange Water &
Sewer Authority
Town of Mooresville
Water and Sewer Authority
of Cabarrus County

NEBRASKA

City of Omaha Public
Works Department

NEW HAMPSHIRE

City of Nashua Division
of Public Works

NEW JERSEY

Atlantic County
Utilities Authority
Bayshore Regional
Sewerage Authority
Bergen County
Utilities Authority
Camden County Municipal
Utilities Authority
Ewing-Lawrence
Sewerage Authority
Hanover Sewerage Authority
Jersey City Municipal
Utilities Authority
Joint Meeting of Essex
& Union Counties
Middlesex County
Utilities Authority
North Bergen Municipal
Utilities Authority
Ocean County
Utilities Authority
Passaic Valley Sewerage
Commissioners
Secaucus Municipal
Utilities Authority
Stony Brook Regional
Sewerage Authority

NEW MEXICO

Albuquerque-Bernalillo
County Water Utility
Authority-Wastewater
Utility Division

NEVADA

City of Henderson
City of Las Vegas Water
Pollution Control Facility
Clark County Water
Reclamation District

City of Toledo Department
of Public Utilities
Metropolitan Sewer District
of Greater Cincinnati
Montgomery County
Water Services
Northeast Ohio Regional
Sewer District

*“Opportunities to share ideas and be a part of the
continued development and leadership of the clean
water community... that’s why I belong to NACWA.”*

NEW YORK

Albany County Sewer District
City of Ithaca Department
of Public Works
County of Monroe
Department of
Environmental Services
Erie County Sewer
District No.5
Great Neck Water Pollution
Control District
New York City Department
of Environmental Protection
Onondaga County
Department of Water
Environment Protection
Rockland County
Sewer District #1
Suffolk County Department
of Public Works
Town of Tonawanda-
Water & Sewer
Village of Northport, NY

OHIO

City of Akron Public
Utilities Bureau
City of Canton Water
Reclamation Facility
City of Columbus Department
of Public Utilities
City of Dayton
Department of Water
City of Lebanon
City of Lima
Utilities Department
City of Sidney

OKLAHOMA

City of Oklahoma City
Water & Wastewater
Utilities Department
City of Tulsa Water and
Sewer Department

OREGON

City of Albany
City of Canby
City of Corvallis Public
Works Department
City of Eugene
Wastewater Division
City of Gresham Department
of Environmental Services
City of Portland Bureau of
Environmental Services
City of Salem
Clean Water Services
Oak Lodge Sanitary District
Water Environment Services
of Clackamas County

PENNSYLVANIA

Allegheny County
Sanitary Authority
City of Lancaster
Delaware County
Regional Water Quality
Control Authority
Derry Township
Municipal Authority
Philadelphia Water
Department

NACWA Members

PUERTO RICO

Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority

RHODE ISLAND

Narragansett Bay Commission

SOUTH CAROLINA

Beaufort Jasper Water & Sewer Authority

Charleston Water System

Greenwood Metropolitan District

Greer Commission of Public Works

Mount Pleasant Waterworks

Renewable Water Resources

Spartanburg Water System and Sanitary Sewer District

Summerville Commissioners of Public Works

TENNESSEE

City of Chattanooga
Moccasin Bend Wastewater Treatment Plant

City of Johnson City

City of Kingsport

City of Oak Ridge

Hallsdale Powell
Utility District

Knoxville Utilities Board

Metropolitan Government of Nashville & Davidson County

TEXAS

Austin Water Utility

Benbrook Water and Sewer Authority

City of Amarillo

City of Corpus Christi
Wastewater Department

City of Dallas Water Utilities

City of Garland

City of Houston Public Works & Engineering/
Public Utilities Division

City of Huntsville

El Paso Water Utilities
Public Service Board

Fort Worth Water
Department

Gulf Coast Waste
Disposal Authority

North Texas Municipal
Water District

San Antonio Water System

San Jacinto River Authority

Trinity River Authority of Texas

Upper Trinity Regional
Water District

Weatherford
Municipal Utilities

UTAH

Central Davis Sewer District

Salt Lake City Public Utilities

Snyderville Basin Water
Reclamation District

Timpanogos Special
Service District

VIRGINIA

Alexandria Renew Enterprises

Chesterfield County Utilities

City of Lynchburg
Department of Utilities

City of Richmond Department
of Public Utilities

City of Suffolk Department
of Public Utilities

City of Virginia Beach
Department of Public Utilities

County of Stafford
Department of Utilities

Fairfax County Wastewater
Management Program

Hampton Roads
Sanitation District

Hanover County Department
of Public Utilities

Hopewell Regional
Wastewater Treatment Facility

Loudoun Water

Prince William County
Service Authority

Upper Occoquan
Service Authority

Western Virginia
Water Authority

WASHINGTON

City of Bellingham

City of Everett Public
Works Department

City of Lynnwood

City of Tacoma Public
Works Department

City of Vancouver

King County Department of
Natural Resources and Parks

Lakehaven Utility District

LOTT Clean Water Alliance

Pierce County, PW&U,
Surface Water Management

Seattle Public Utilities

WISCONSIN

City of Fond du Lac

City of Superior,
Environmental
Services Division

Green Bay Metropolitan
Sewerage District

Heart of the Valley
Metropolitan
Sewerage District

Madison Metropolitan
Sewerage District

Milwaukee Metropolitan
Sewerage District

Racine Wastewater Utility

WEST VIRGINIA

Jefferson County Public
Service District

Morgantown Utility Board

**CORPORATE
AFFILIATE
MEMBERS**

ADS Corporation
AECOM
Alan Plummer Associates, Inc.
Black & Veatch Corporation
Brown and Caldwell
Burns & McDonnell
Engineering Company, Inc.
Carollo Engineers, P.C.
Causey Consulting
CDM Smith
CEMEX
CH2M HILL
Clyde Wilber LLC
Conestoga Rovers &
Associates Infrastructure
& Engineering
Donohue & Associates, Inc.
Dvirka & Bartilucci
Consulting Engineers
EMA, Inc.
EPC Consultants, Inc.
Freese & Nichols, Inc.
Geosyntec Consultants
Greeley and Hansen LLC
Hatch Mott MacDonald
Hazen and Sawyer, P.C.
HDR Engineering, Inc.
HomeServe USA
InSinkErator
Insituform Technologies, Inc.
Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.

Jones & Henry Engineers, Ltd.
K2 Pure Solutions
Kennedy/Jenks Consultants
Larry Walker Associates, Inc.
LimnoTech
LMK Technologies, Inc.
Malcolm Pirnie, the Water
Division of ARCADIS
MaxWest Environmental
Systems, Inc.
MWH Global, Inc.
NEFCO
Ostara Technologies, Inc.
Parson Brinckerhoff
Raftelis Financial
Consultants, Inc.
RMC Water and Environment
Rothstein Group
Shaw Environmental
& Infrastructure
Solvay Chemicals
Strand Associates, Inc.
Synagro Technologies, Inc.
Tetra Tech, Inc.
United Water
URS Corporation
UTS BioEnergy
Veolia Water North
America, LLC
Wade-Trim Associates, Inc.
Westin Engineering, Inc.
Wetland Studies and
Solutions, Inc.
Woolpert, LLC

**LEGAL
AFFILIATE
MEMBERS**

Alston & Bird, LLP
AquaLaw PLC
Barnes & Thornburg LLP
Best, Best & Krieger LLP
Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.
Clark Hill PLC
English, Lucas, Priest
& Owsley, LLP
Foley & Lardner LLP
Foster Pepper PLLC
Hall & Associates
Hamburg Rubin Mullin
Maxwell & Lupin
Holland & Knight, LLP
Hunton & Williams, LLP
Kelly & Weaver, P.C.
Kilpatrick, Townsend
& Stockton, LLP
Lathrop & Gage LLP
Lloyd Gosselink Rochelle
& Townsend, P.C.
McGuireWoods, LLP
McMahon DeGulis LLP
Mitchell, Williams, Selig,
Gates & Woodyard, PLLC
Odin, Feldman &
Pittleman, PC
Pannone Lopes Devereaux
& West LLC
Pillsbury Winthrop
Shaw Pittman, LLP
Reed Smith LPP
Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP
Somach Simmons & Dunn
Squire, Sanders &
Dempsey, LLP

“NACWA provides analysis and advocacy that we as an individual agency cannot.”

**SUPPORTING
AFFILIATE
MEMBERS**

Alliance to Save Energy
American Biogas Council
American Cleaning Institute
The Freshwater Trust
New England Interstate Water
Pollution Control Commission



National Association of Clean Water Agencies

1816 Jefferson Place N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036

P 202.833.2672
F 202.833.4657

www.nacwa.org



♻️ 30% Post Consumer Waste