



One Voice for  
Clean Water



# A Message from NACWA's President & Executive Director



This past year has been marked by significant challenges and political change, including economic turmoil that continues to impact all levels of government and a shift in Congress to a more conservative approach to many issues — including the environment. Anticipating these trends, NACWA has sought to get out in front and position the organization and its members to maximize the Association's effectiveness.

This is perhaps best exemplified through NACWA's advocacy in the context of its *Money Matters... Smarter Investment to Advance Clean Water™* campaign. Through its Money Matters Task Force NACWA has been the leading voice for critical regulatory prioritization reform under the Clean Water Act (CWA), seeking to stretch every ratepayer dollar as far as possible to maximize water quality benefits. As the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) continues to ramp up its regulatory and enforcement agenda, this initiative offers an approach to fully comply with the CWA while bringing relief to those who are footing the bill — clean water agency ratepayers.

And while the *Money Matters™* campaign articulates the Association's vision for a much-needed fresh look at how the objectives of the CWA can and should be prioritized, it also exemplifies the need to be even more aggressive when weighing in on the many legislative, regulatory and legal issues that are far too numerous to mention in this message. These issues are described throughout NACWA's *Year-At-A-Glance* and in more detail in our online publication, the *Year in Review*.

It is clear that NACWA's regulatory, legislative and legal advocacy efforts are increasingly interrelated. While the Association continues to work with EPA toward a sound national stormwater rule, NACWA also successfully secured the passage of legislation requiring federal entities to pay their fair share of municipal stormwater fees, resulting in millions of dollars in previously unrealized revenues for municipalities. Similarly, our work in the nutrient arena has included a NACWA-hosted *Nutrient Summit* and resulting issues paper; organization of a working group and coalition to advocate of behalf of a Farm Bill that addresses agriculture's role in the water quality equation; receipt of a Turner Foundation grant to develop an outreach and advocacy strategy to ensure nonpoint source are brought to the table; participation in key litigation on the Chesapeake Bay TMDL and Florida nutrient criteria development; and, testimony in a June House of Representatives hearing on the need for state flexibility in developing nutrient criteria.

On the issue of sewage sludge incineration (SSI), not only did NACWA secure substantial changes in the final SSI rule — freeing many member utilities from significant expenditures — but the Association also ensured that Members of Congress weighed in with EPA on their disappointment with the Clean Air Act rule. Of greatest significance, the Association became the primary plaintiff against EPA in an effort to ensure the SSI regulation was in line with clear statutory intent and the principles of sound science. The SSI issue marks the first time that a lawsuit has been lodged and

*Together we will continue to put the right advocacy strategies in place to ensure our interests, your ratepayers' interests, the environment, and public health are protected.*

titled *NACWA v. EPA*, demonstrating the Association's evolving and renewed commitment to not only work with EPA where appropriate, but also draw a strong line when all other avenues and alternatives have been exhausted.

On the sanitary sewer overflow (SSO) front, NACWA's continued pressure on EPA led to a mid-July facilitated discussion with stakeholders. The uncertainty communities face — with no clear and consistent policy on what is expected of them when managing their sanitary sewer systems — will perpetuate inconsistent progress on addressing SSOs. In the coming year, we will need to maintain our stance with EPA to ensure the important momentum generated by the stakeholder discussion is not lost.

In the national policy arena it is often difficult to quantify the impact of an association's advocacy efforts. The previously mentioned efforts and others described in this *Year-At-A-Glance* demonstrate that membership in NACWA is an investment that pays great dividends. Together we will continue to put the right advocacy strategies in place to ensure our interests, your ratepayers' interests, the environment, and public health are protected.



*Jeff Theerman*

Jeff Theerman  
NACWA President 2010-2011

Executive Director, Metropolitan  
St. Louis Sewer District, Mo.



*Ken Kirk*

Ken Kirk  
Executive Director



# Affordability, Regulatory Prioritization & Infrastructure Funding



**Money Matters™**  
Smarter Investment to Advance Clean Water

- » With Congress and the Administration focused on deficit spending cuts, NACWA has taken a strong position on infrastructure funding as a jobs creator and through its *Money Matters™* campaign that Congress and the Administration cannot have it both ways — adding new and costly regulations under the Clean Water Act (CWA) while proposing cuts to funding to implement these regulations. At press time, these efforts are poised to ramp up dramatically.
- » NACWA's *Money Matters... Smarter Investment to Advance Clean Water™* campaign provided a viable option for public agencies as CWA regulations and enforcement continued to expand, making the case for a new approach that lets municipalities act as the drivers for prioritizing compliance with existing and new CWA regulations.
- » NACWA released several key documents outlining the goals and objectives of the *Money Matters™* campaign, *The Solution is Within our Reach... the Message is Clear* and *Two Sides of the Same Coin ... Increased Investment & Regulatory Prioritization*, exploring the economic benefits of a new approach to regulatory prioritization while also seeking some solutions to the underlying challenge of aging clean water infrastructure.
- » Building on the *Money Matters™* momentum, NACWA convened a *Money Matters™ Summit* in Washington, D.C., with participants taking the campaign's message to Capitol Hill and laying the groundwork for regulatory prioritization legislation.
- » NACWA, via its *Money Matters™* Task Force, crafted legislation to ensure that municipal flexibility is maximized and affordability and financial capability concerns are addressed based on complex and often shifting community conditions — while also fully accounting for a process to allow municipalities to seek compliance schedule alterations under a menu of key changed economic, demographic, and other circumstances.
- » NACWA sought support for its *Money Matters™* approach in comments on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) agency-wide regulatory review effort required via Presidential Executive Order.
- » NACWA continued to build on its leadership role in bringing together key groups through The Water Infrastructure Network, the Clean Water Exchange network, and municipal and state organization coalitions, to show one voice in the need to protect annual appropriations for the State Revolving Fund (SRF) and long-term, deficit-neutral funding via a trust fund.
- » NACWA held a *Congressional Briefing* and conducted individual outreach meetings with new Members of Congress focusing on the Clean Water Act, the services NACWA members provide to their constituents, and the importance of continued federal investment in clean water infrastructure.



# Stormwater & Wet Weather Issues



- » NACWA continued its aggressive expansion into the stormwater arena, securing passage of a significant piece of legislation guaranteeing federal payment of municipal stormwater fees, potentially generating amounts approaching \$10 million annually in previously unrealized revenue for communities across the country.
- » NACWA provided a key municipal perspective to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on the Agency's new stormwater rule, emphasizing the support of the MS4 community for innovative approaches to better control urban wet weather flows, along with the critical importance that the rule account for the significant regulatory and economic stresses that municipalities face all across the country.
- » NACWA filed an *amicus curiae* brief supporting Association member, the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District (MSD), in appealing a Missouri state court decision that invalidates the utility's stormwater fee program. The legal and policy issues involved in this case have significant implications for stormwater utilities across the nation.
- » NACWA urged EPA action on a sanitary sewer overflow (SSO) rule, inspiring a two-day workshop on SSOs in July that resulted in agreement that the Agency should pursue a path forward for a comprehensive rule.
- » NACWA expanded its wet weather consent decree resources with the release of the results of its *Consent Decree Implementation Survey*, providing members with the opportunity to share information regarding implementation of wet weather consent decrees and learn from each others' experiences.
- » NACWA supported Association member, the City of Akron, Ohio, in appealing the decision of a federal trial court judge to reject the city's carefully negotiated wet weather consent decree, preparing an *amicus curiae* brief arguing that federal courts should give deference to the carefully negotiated wet weather decrees agreed to by federal, state, and local water quality experts and ensure local clean water agencies have confidence in negotiating these very complex legal agreements.
- » NACWA was instrumental in EPA's decision to accept public comment on a controversial memo calling for the inclusion of numeric limits in municipal stormwater permits.





# Watershed Management, Nutrients & Nonpoint Source Control

- » NACWA built support for its vision of a holistic watershed approach — that prioritizes and addresses the most significant water impacts in the ways that are most cost-effective and environmentally beneficial — when the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), the Association of State & Interstate Water Pollution Control Administrators (ASIWPCA), the Water Environment Federation (WEF), the Environmental Law & Policy Center (ELPC), the National Wildlife Federation (NWF), and the American Water Resources Association (AWRA) endorsed the *Principles for a Viable Watershed Approach*, based on the recommendations of the Association's Strategic Watershed Task Force.
- » NACWA moved to defend a holistic watershed approach; protect municipal regulatory and economic interests; and, ensure that all dischargers in a watershed are required to do their fair share for water quality improvements when it intervened in a challenge by agricultural groups to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) final Chesapeake Bay total maximum daily load (TMDL).
- » NACWA convened a *Nutrient Summit* of clean water community representatives to discuss new and innovative approaches to addressing nutrient-related water quality impacts. The issue paper that resulted from the *Summit* discussions is now serving as the foundation for NACWA's advocacy efforts.
- » NACWA formed a task force to focus specifically on EPA's work to develop new recreational water quality criteria. NACWA and the workgroup provided preliminary comments to the Agency regarding its path forward and participated in a key stakeholder meeting to discuss the form and content of the new criteria.
- » NACWA provided an early and ongoing voice from the clean water community on EPA's efforts to develop a national mercury control rule for dental clinics.



*What is needed now is a broader holistic approach that will prioritize and address the most significant current impacts on water quality in the most effective and responsible way.*



- » NACWA continued to engage in regional and state whole effluent toxicity (WET) issues, including ongoing issues with chronic toxicity testing in Texas and the proposal of a new WET policy in California that would employ a controversial approach to interpreting WET test results.
- » NACWA crafted a coalition letter on numeric nutrient criteria urging EPA to avoid a “one-size-fits-all” approach and better acknowledge the innovative approaches many states are developing to address nutrients.
- » NACWA filed a brief in *Florida Wildlife Federation v. EPA*, supporting the Association’s Florida members in their challenge to EPA imposed nutrient criteria for the state and arguing that EPA’s decision to federalize nutrient criteria in Florida oversteps the Agency’s legal authority, setting a negative precedent for the rest of the nation.
- » NACWA convened a broad stakeholder alliance called, the *Healthy Waters Coalition* to urge Congress to address nutrient run-off from agricultural lands in the upcoming Farm Bill reauthorization effort. The *Coalition* sent a letter to Congress, supported by over 140 organizations nationwide, urging Congress to strengthen links between agricultural policy and water quality.
- » The Turner Foundation awarded NACWA a \$50,000 grant to support its efforts to address nutrient run-off from agricultural lands in the upcoming Farm Bill negotiations.
- » NACWA joined litigation involving a permit appeal for Association member agency the Upper Blackstone Water Pollution Abatement District, in Massachusetts, supporting the utility in its challenge of scientifically flawed nutrient limits and arguing that controls on nutrients in discharge permits must be based both on sound science and appropriate regulatory prioritization.



# Biosolids Management, Energy Recovery & Air Emissions

- » NACWA's comments on the proposed sewage sludge incineration (SSI) rule, and the supporting comments from its members, were successful in convincing the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that a 'beyond the maximum achievable control technology (MACT) floor' level of control for mercury was not justified, resulting in avoided costs of more than \$1 billion for clean water agencies operating SSIs.
- » NACWA petitioned EPA to reconsider and stay its proposed SSI rule, citing EPA's lack of legal authority, its failure to collect sufficient information to develop lawful MACT standards, and its failure to consider the variability of pollutant concentrations in sewage sludge fed to SSIs, among other issues.
- » NACWA formed its Sewage Sludge Incineration Advocacy Coalition (SSIAC) to support the Association's efforts in challenging EPA's final SSI rule and definition of solid waste rule. Over 40 utilities from around the nation that operate SSIs joined the coalition, including a number of utilities that were not NACWA members.
- » NACWA filed a legal Petition for Review of EPA's final SSI rule, initiating *NACWA v. EPA*, and challenging EPA's legal and scientific basis for promulgating the SSI rule. The litigation marks one of NACWA's most significant legal advocacy efforts ever and demonstrates the Association's commitment to protecting the ability of clean water agencies to pursue appropriate local biosolids management options free from unnecessary regulatory and economic burden.
- » NACWA filed a legal challenge to EPA's final definition of solid waste rule, attacking a critical regulatory foundation for the Agency's SSI rule and protecting Association members from potential unintended regulatory and economic consequences as a result of EPA's solid waste determination involving biosolids that are incinerated.
- » NACWA prepared a legal request that the federal courts issue a judicial stay of the final SSI rule, placing implementation of the rule on hold and protecting NACWA SSI members from making unnecessary capital expenditures to modify their SSI units pending the outcome of the Association's lawsuit challenging the rule.
- » NACWA engaged key EPA staff, including the Assistant Administrator for the Agency's air office and the White House's Office of Management and Budget, to influence the direction and content of the proposed Clean Air Act (CAA) standards for SSIs.
- » NACWA requested and participated in a public hearing on the proposed CAA standards for SSIs to underscore the flaws in the proposal and to urge EPA to pursue regulation pursuant to a different section of the CAA that allows for additional flexibility when setting control standards.



» NACWA's aggressive advocacy on biogenic emissions benefited utilities significantly by reducing the amount of emissions that are counted towards the permitting thresholds under EPA's final Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Tailoring Rule. Through specific deferrals for carbon dioxide emissions from wastewater treatment processes, as well as the combustion of biogas and biosolids, NACWA's actions make it unlikely that wastewater utilities will fall under permitting requirements for GHGs during the next three years saving utilities potentially significant costs.

» NACWA continued its collaboration with the Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies (AMWA), American Rivers and other associations and NGOs to urge Congress to address climate adaptation issues facing wastewater, drinking water and stormwater agencies.

» NACWA submitted comments to the Senate Energy & Natural Resources Committee outlining the issues that should be addressed if and when legislation is drafted to establish a Clean Energy Standard (CES) and urged the Committee to ensure that biogas and solids generated at municipal wastewater treatment plants are included in a CES.



# Expansion of Judicial Advocacy

» NACWA expanded its judicial advocacy efforts, increasing its litigation portfolio and heightening its presence in the nation's courtrooms to protect its members' interests.

» NACWA engaged as the lead party in challenging the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) final sewage sludge incineration (SSI) regulations, demonstrating the Association's ability to take the legal fight to EPA on matters that will have significant legal and economic impacts on Association members.

» NACWA displayed a willingness to fight agricultural and nonpoint source interests in the courtroom by intervening in a lawsuit over EPA's total maximum daily load (TMDL) for the Chesapeake Bay, seeking to prevent big agriculture from abandoning the TMDL process and leaving municipal wastewater and stormwater dischargers on the hook for costly water quality improvements.



» Nutrients took a leading role in the Association's legal efforts, with NACWA participating in litigation in both Florida and Massachusetts to push back against the imposition of illegal and scientifically flawed nutrient regulations on the municipal clean water community.

» NACWA made strong legal arguments against EPA's efforts to include numeric effluent limits in municipal stormwater permits, as well as explored potential litigation efforts to ensure that stormwater utility charges are treated as valid fees for service and not illegal taxes.





# Member Agency & Association Achievements



- » NACWA's Targeted Action Fund (TAF) supported 25 key Association initiatives and programs — immeasurably increasing the effectiveness of the Association's advocacy agenda.
- » NACWA brought the Association to its members through engagement in an unprecedented number of state and regional meetings and enhanced outreach through its *Clean Water Exchange*.



- » NACWA awarded a total of 441 member agency facilities with *Peak Performance Awards*, recognizing 134 treatment facilities with *Platinum Peak Performance Awards* signifying their 100% compliance for five or more years. Twenty seven facilities earned first-time *Platinum* status, while the remaining 107 recipients achieved the remarkable accomplishment of maintaining perfect compliance for a time period ranging from six to 24 years.
- » NACWA recognized 196 member agency facilities with Gold Awards for maintaining perfect compliance for a full calendar year and 111 member agency facilities with *Silver Awards* for no more than five permit violations in 2010.



- » NACWA honored 16 individuals and 14 member agencies for their outstanding contributions to environmental protection and the clean water community with 2011 *National Environmental Achievement Awards* while also presenting the Association's *Excellence in Management* awards to five member agencies for implementing and sustaining successful programs that address the range of management challenges faced by public clean water utilities.
- » NACWA's National Office took its environmental ethic to a new level this year, installing new energy-saving windows, sustainable bamboo flooring and environmentally-friendly carpeting in the Association's 100+ year old headquarters building. The Association extended this environmental ethic beyond its offices to its meetings and conferences with an array of green practices.

# NACWA Members

## PUBLIC UTILITY MEMBERS

### ALABAMA

Jefferson County Commission  
Mobile Area Water &  
Sewer System  
Montgomery Water Works  
& Sanitary Sewer Board

### ALASKA

Anchorage Water &  
Wastewater Utility

### ARIZONA

City of Glendale Utilities  
Department  
City of Mesa Water Resources  
City of Phoenix Water  
Services Department  
Pima County Regional  
Wastewater Reclamation  
Department

### ARKANSAS

City of Little Rock  
Wastewater Utility  
Pine Bluff Wastewater Utility

### CALIFORNIA

Central Contra Costa  
Sanitary District  
Central Marin Sanitation Agency  
City & County of San Francisco  
Public Utilities Commission  
City of Fresno Department  
of Public Utilities  
City of Healdsburg  
City of Los Angeles  
City of Palo Alto Regional  
Water Quality Control Plant  
City of Riverside Water  
Reclamation Plant  
City of Sacramento  
City of San Bernardino  
Municipal Water Department  
City of San Diego Public Utilities  
City of San Jose Environmental  
Services Department  
City of Santa Barbara  
City of Santa Cruz Wastewater  
Treatment Facility  
City of Sunnyvale Water  
Pollution Control Plant  
City of Thousand Oaks  
Public Works Department

City of Vacaville  
Delta Diablo Sanitation District  
East Bay Municipal Utility District  
Encina Wastewater Authority  
Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District  
North Tahoe Public Utility District  
Orange County Sanitation District  
Sacramento Regional County  
Sanitation District  
Sanitation Districts of  
Los Angeles County  
South Orange County  
Wastewater Authority  
Union Sanitary District  
Vallejo Sanitation & Flood  
Control District  
West County Wastewater District

### COLORADO

Boxelder Sanitation District  
City of Aurora Water Department  
City of Fort Collins Utilities  
City of Greeley Water and  
Sewer Department  
City of Pueblo Wastewater  
Department  
City of Rifle  
Colorado Springs Utilities  
Environmental Services  
Littleton/Englewood  
Wastewater Treatment Plant  
Metro Wastewater  
Reclamation District  
Platte Canyon Water and  
Sanitation District  
Pleasant View Water &  
Sanitation District

### CONNECTICUT

Greater New Haven Water  
Pollution Control Authority  
The Metropolitan District  
The Town of Greenwich  
Water Pollution Control  
Authority for the City of Norwalk

### DELAWARE

City of Wilmington Department  
of Public Works

### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DC Water

### FLORIDA

Broward County Water and  
Wastewater Services  
City of Boca Raton Utility  
Services Department  
City of Clearwater  
City of Hollywood  
City of Tallahassee Water Utility  
Emerald Coast Utilities Authority  
JEA (Electric, Water & Sewer)  
Marion County Utilities  
Miami-Dade County Water  
and Sewer Department  
Orange County Utilities  
Palm Beach County  
Water Utilities  
Toho Water Authority

### GEORGIA

City of Atlanta Department  
of Watershed Management  
City of Augusta Utilities  
Department  
City of Cumming  
Columbus Water Works  
DeKalb County Public  
Works Department  
Gwinnett County Department  
of Water Resources  
Macon Water Authority

### HAWAII

City & County of  
Honolulu Department of  
Environmental Services

### IDAHO

City of Boise  
City of Pocatello Water  
Pollution Control Department

### ILLINOIS

American Bottoms Regional  
Wastewater Treatment Facility  
Bloomington & Normal  
Water Reclamation District  
City of Lockport  
Danville Sanitary District  
Downers Grove Sanitary District  
Flagg Creek Water  
Reclamation District  
Fox Metro Water  
Reclamation District  
Fox River Water  
Reclamation District



*NACWA identifies national issues early and mechanisms for providing a unified voice to speak for wastewater.*

Glenbard Wastewater Authority  
Greater Peoria Sanitary District  
Kankakee River  
Metropolitan Agency  
Metropolitan Water Reclamation  
District of Greater Chicago  
North Shore Sanitary District  
Rock River Water  
Reclamation District  
Sanitary District of Decatur  
Springfield Metro  
Sanitary District  
Thorn Creek Basin  
Sanitary District  
Urbana & Champaign  
Sanitary District  
Wheaton Sanitary District

#### **INDIANA**

City of Fort Wayne  
City of Indianapolis  
Department of Public Works  
City of Valparaiso EKPCF  
Gary Sanitary District  
Sanitary District of Hammond

#### **IOWA**

City of Ames Water & Pollution  
Control Department  
City of Cedar Rapids,  
Utilities Department  
City of Des Moines

#### **KANSAS**

City of Lawrence  
Department of Utilities  
City of Olathe  
City of Wichita  
Johnson County Wastewater  
Unified Government of  
Wyandotte County

#### **KENTUCKY**

Lexington-Fayette Urban  
County Government Division  
of Sanitary Sewers  
Louisville & Jefferson County  
Metropolitan Sewer District  
Sanitation District No. 1

#### **LOUISIANA**

Sewerage & Water Board  
of New Orleans

#### **MAINE**

City of Bangor

#### **MARYLAND**

Anne Arundel County  
Department of Public Works  
City of Baltimore Department  
of Public Works  
Washington Suburban  
Sanitary Commission

#### **MASSACHUSETTS**

Boston Water & Sewer  
Commission  
Lowell Regional  
Wastewater Utility  
Massachusetts Water  
Resources Authority  
South Essex Sewerage District  
Springfield Water &  
Sewer Commission  
Upper Blackstone Water  
Pollution Abatement District

#### **MICHIGAN**

City of Saginaw  
Detroit Water & Sewerage  
Department  
Genesee County Division of  
Water and Waste Services  
Oakland County Water  
Resources Commissioner  
Wayne County Department  
of Environment

#### **MINNESOTA**

City of Rochester Water  
Reclamation Plant  
Metropolitan Council  
Environmental Services  
Western Lake Superior  
Sanitary District

#### **MISSOURI**

City of Springfield  
Independence Water Pollution  
Control Department  
Kansas City Water Department  
Little Blue Valley Sewer District  
Metropolitan St. Louis  
Sewer District

#### **NEBRASKA**

City of Omaha Public  
Works Department

#### **NEVADA**

City of Henderson  
City of Las Vegas Water  
Pollution Control Facility  
Clark County Water  
Reclamation District

#### **NEW HAMPSHIRE**

City of Nashua Division  
of Public Works

#### **NEW JERSEY**

Atlantic County Utilities Authority  
Bayshore Regional  
Sewerage Authority  
Bergen County Utilities Authority  
Camden County Municipal  
Utilities Authority  
Ewing-Lawrence  
Sewerage Authority  
Hanover Sewerage Authority  
Jersey City Municipal  
Utilities Authority  
Joint Meeting of Essex  
& Union Counties  
Middlesex County  
Utilities Authority  
North Bergen Municipal  
Utilities Authority  
Ocean County Utilities Authority  
Passaic Valley Sewerage  
Commissioners  
Secaucus Municipal  
Utilities Authority  
Stony Brook Regional  
Sewerage Authority

#### **NEW MEXICO**

Albuquerque-Bernalillo County  
Water Utility Authority-  
Wastewater Utility Division

#### **NEW YORK**

Albany County Sewer District  
City of Ithaca Department  
of Public Works  
County of Monroe Department  
of Environmental Services  
Erie County Sewer District No.5  
Great Neck Water Pollution  
Control District  
NYC Department of  
Environmental Protection

*NACWA is my resource for information and advocacy.*

*NACWA represents us nationally in areas of critical importance that require all of us to engage in.*

Onondaga County Department  
of Water Environment Protection  
Rockland County  
Sewer District #1  
Suffolk County Department  
of Public Works  
Town of Tonawanda-  
Water & Sewer  
Village of Northport

#### **NORTH CAROLINA**

Charlotte Mecklenburg Utilities  
City of Greensboro Water  
Resources Department  
City of Raleigh Public  
Utilities Department  
City of Salisbury, Salisbury  
Rowan Utilities  
Metropolitan Sewerage District  
of Buncombe County  
Orange Water & Sewer Authority  
Town of Mooresville  
Water and Sewer Authority  
of Cabarrus County

#### **OHIO**

City of Akron Public  
Utilities Bureau  
City of Canton Water  
Reclamation Facility  
City of Columbus Division  
of Sewerage & Drainage  
City of Dayton  
Department of Water  
City of Lebanon  
City of Lima Utilities Department  
City of Newark  
City of Sidney  
City of Toledo Department  
of Public Utilities  
Metropolitan Sewer District  
of Greater Cincinnati  
Montgomery County  
Water Services  
Northeast Ohio Regional  
Sewer District

#### **OKLAHOMA**

City of Oklahoma City Water &  
Wastewater Utilities Department  
City of Tulsa Public  
Works Department

#### **OREGON**

City of Albany  
City of Canby  
City of Corvallis Public  
Works Department  
City of Eugene  
Wastewater Division  
City of Gresham Department  
of Environmental Services  
City of Portland Bureau of  
Environmental Services  
City of Salem  
Clean Water Services  
Oak Lodge Sanitary District  
Water Environment Services  
of Clackamas County

#### **PENNSYLVANIA**

Allegheny County  
Sanitary Authority  
City of Lancaster  
Delaware County Regional Water  
Quality Control Authority  
Derry Township  
Municipal Authority  
Philadelphia Water Department

#### **RHODE ISLAND**

Narragansett Bay Commission

#### **SOUTH CAROLINA**

Beaufort Jasper Water  
& Sewer Authority  
Charleston Water System  
Greenwood Metropolitan District  
Greer Commission of  
Public Works  
Renewable Water Resources  
Spartanburg Water System  
and Sanitary Sewer District  
Summerville Commissioners  
of Public Works

#### **TENNESSEE**

City of Chattanooga Moccasin  
Bend Wastewater Treatment Plant  
City of Johnson City  
City of Kingsport  
City of Memphis Division  
of Public Works  
City of Oak Ridge  
Hallsdale Powell Utility District  
Knoxville Utilities Board  
Metropolitan Government of  
Nashville & Davidson County

#### **TEXAS**

Austin Water Utility  
Benbrook Water and  
Sewer Authority  
City of Amarillo  
City of Corpus Christi  
Wastewater Department  
City of Garland  
City of Houston Public  
Works & Engineering/  
Public Utilities Division  
City of Huntsville  
Dallas Water Utilities  
City of Dallas  
El Paso Water Utilities  
Public Service Board  
Fort Worth Water Department  
Gulf Coast Waste  
Disposal Authority  
North Texas Municipal  
Water District  
San Antonio Water System  
San Jacinto River Authority  
Trinity River Authority of Texas  
Upper Trinity Regional  
Water District  
Weatherford Municipal Utilities

#### **UTAH**

Central Davis County  
Sewer District  
Salt Lake City Public Utilities  
Snyderville Basin Water  
Reclamation District  
Timpanogos Special  
Service District

#### **VIRGINIA**

Alexandria Sanitation Authority  
Chesterfield County Utilities  
City of Lynchburg  
Department of Utilities  
City of Richmond Department  
of Public Utilities  
City of Suffolk Department  
of Public Utilities  
City of Virginia Beach  
Department of Public Utilities  
County of Stafford  
Department of Utilities  
Fairfax County Wastewater  
Management Program  
Hampton Roads  
Sanitation District



*The regulatory climate is very dynamic and NACWA is the best organization to keep us on top of what's happening.*

Hanover County Department of Public Utilities  
Hopewell Regional Wastewater Treatment Facility  
Loudoun Water  
Prince William County Service Authority  
Upper Occoquan Service Authority  
Western Virginia Water Authority

#### **WASHINGTON**

City of Everett Public Works Department  
City of Lynnwood  
City of Tacoma Public Works Department  
City of Vancouver  
King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks  
Lakehaven Utility District  
LOTT Clean Water Alliance  
Pierce County, PW&U, Surface Water Management  
Seattle Public Utilities

#### **WEST VIRGINIA**

Jefferson County Public Service District  
Morgantown Utility Board

#### **WISCONSIN**

City of Fond du Lac  
City of Superior, Environmental Services Division  
Green Bay Metropolitan Sewerage District  
Heart of the Valley Metropolitan Sewerage District  
Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District  
Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District  
Racine Wastewater Utility

#### **SUPPORTING AFFILIATES**

Alliance to Save Energy  
American Cleaning Institute  
New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission

#### **CORPORATE AFFILIATES**

ADS Corporation  
AECOM

Alan Plummer Associates, Inc.  
Atkins  
Black & Veatch Corporation  
Brown & Caldwell  
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.  
Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc.  
Carollo Engineers, P.C.  
CEMEX  
CH2M HILL  
Conestoga Rovers & Associates Infrastructure & Engineering  
Donohue & Associates, Inc.  
Dvirka & Bartilucci Consulting Engineers  
EMA, Inc.  
EnerTech  
Environmental, Inc.  
Freese & Nichols, Inc.  
Gannett Fleming, Inc.  
GeoSyntec Consultants  
Greeley and Hansen, LLC  
Hatch Mott MacDonald  
HDR Engineering, Inc.  
HNTB Corporation  
HomeServ USA  
Infilco Degremont  
InSinkErator  
Insituform Technologies, Inc.  
Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.  
Jones & Henry Engineers, Ltd.  
K2 Pure Solutions  
Kennedy/Jenks Consultants  
Larry Walker Associates, Inc.  
LimnoTech  
Malcolm Pirnie, The Water Division of ARCADIS  
MaxWest Environmental Systems, Inc.  
MWH Americas, Inc.  
Ostara Technologies, Inc.  
Parson Brinckerhoff  
Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc.  
RJN Group, Inc.  
RMC Water and Environment  
Rothstein Group  
Solvay Chemicals

Strand Associates, Inc.  
Synagro Technologies, Inc.  
Tetra Tech, Inc.  
United Water  
URS Corporation  
UTS BioEnergy  
Veolia Water North America, LLC  
Wade-Trim Associates, Inc.  
Westin Engineering, Inc.  
Woolpert, LLC

#### **LEGAL AFFILIATES**

Alston & Bird, LLP  
AquaLaw PLC  
Barnes & Thornburg  
Beveridge & Diamond  
English, Lucas, Priest & Owsley, LLP  
Foley & Lardner LLP  
Foster Pepper PLLC  
Frost Brown Todd LLC  
Gallagher & Kennedy, PA  
Hall & Associates  
Hamburg Rubin Mullin Maxwell & Lupin  
Holland & Knight, LLP  
Hunton & Williams, LLP  
Kelly & Weaver, P.C.  
Kilpatrick Stockton, LLP  
Lloyd Gosselink Rochelle & Townsend, P.C.  
McGuire Woods, LLP  
McMahon DeGulis LLP  
Mitchell, Williams, Selig, Gates & Woodyard, PLLC  
Odin, Feldman & Pittleman, PC  
Pannone Lopes Devereaux & West LLC  
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP  
Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP  
Somach, Simmons & Dunn  
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, LLP



National Association of Clean Water Agencies  
1816 Jefferson Place N.W.  
Washington D.C. 20036  
P 202.833.2672  
F 202.833.4657  
[www.nacwa.org](http://www.nacwa.org)

